1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 AT SEATTLE 5 6 NATHANIEL OLSON and CHRISTOPHER W. HESSE. 7 Plaintiffs, Case No. C06-592JCC 8 TAXATION OF COSTS v. 9 SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. and SPRINT 10 NEXTEL CORPORATION, 11 Defendants. 12 Having considered briefs in support of, and in opposition to, the cost bill herein, costs in the cause 13 indicated above are hereby taxed against PLAINTIFFS NATHANIEL OLSON and CHRISTOPHER W. 14 HESSE, and on behalf of DEFENDANT SPRINT SPECTRUM L.P. in the amount of \$6,189.45 and 15 against PLAINTIFFS NATHANIEL OLSON and CHRISTOPHER W. HESSE, and on behalf of 16 DEFENDANT SPRINT NEXTEL CORPORATION in the amount of \$1,113.00 as follows: 17 18 Requested Disallowed Allowed I. PRO HOC VICE FEES \$875.00 \$875.00 0 19 Pro Hoc Vice fees are not taxable costs. 20 0 II. DEPOSITION COSTS \$5,997.45 \$5,997.45 21 All deposition costs requested by defendants were allowed. 22 23 24 III. COPY COSTS \$4,775.36 \$3,820.36 \$955.00 25

26

TAXATION OF COSTS -- 1

1		Requested	Disallowed	Allowed	
2	Costs for copying of materials was requested by defendant Sprint Spectrum L.P. Only cost for copying				
3	of materials directly related to motions leading to dismissal are allowed. Clerk determined that 20% of				
4	the total request reasonable represents copying directly associated with the dismissal.				
5	IV. FILING FEE	\$350.00	0	\$350.00	
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	Dated this 17th day of APRIL 2008				
13					
14					
15					
16		Rando	Bruce Rifkin Clark II.S. District Court		
17		Pruga Diffri			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26	TAXATION OF COSTS 2				